SEP 1 5 2003 (2)

# Corres. and Mail **BOX AF**

AF1600

.9		ved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-003
TRANSMEET Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are requ	U.S. Patent and Tradema	ark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are requ	ired to respond to a collection of infor	mation unless it displays a valid OMB control numbe
	Application Number	09/826,559

## **TRANSMITTAL FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

The state of the s	mation unicos it displays a valid Civib Control Humble
Application Number	09/826,559
Filing Date	April 4, 2001
First Named Inventor	Bryan HIROMOTO
Art Unit	1654
Examiner Name	M. Meller
Attorney Docket Number	437472000200

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)			
Fee Trans	mittal Form	Drawing(s)	After Allowance Communication to Group
Fee	Attached	Licensing-related Papers	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
X Amendme	nt/Reply	Petition	Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
X After	r Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	Proprietary Information
Affid	lavits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address	Status Letter
Extension	of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
Express A	bandonment Request	Request for Refund	Relevant Decision CEIVEI
	n Disclosure Statement copy of Priority (s)	CD, Number of CD(s)	x identify below): Relevant Decisions to CEIVEL Return Postcard  SEP 1 6 2003
	to Missing Parts/ Application	Remarks	TECH CENTER 1600/290
	oonse to Missing Parts or 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		·
	SIGNA	THE OF ARRIVANT ATTORNEY OR A	05115
	313174	TURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR A	GENI
Firm or Individual name	MORRISON & FOEI Kate H. Murashige -		
Signature Kate A. Museliji			
Date			

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O.Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.  Dated: 17103 Signature: 16104 (Tami Procopio)

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "FIRST CLASS MAIL"

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on September 12, 2003.

Tami M. Procopio

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of:

Bryan HIROMOTO

Serial No.: 09/826,559

Filing Date: 4 April 2001

For: **IMPROVED PESTICIDE** 

> MICROEMULSION AND DISPERSANT/PENETRANT

**FORMULATIONS** 

Examiner: Michael V. Meller

Group Art Unit: 1654

**EXPEDITED PROCEDURE --EXAMINING GROUP 1654** 

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

SEP 1 6 2003 TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is in response to a final Office action herein mailed 12 August 2003, time for response to which was set to expire 12 November 2003. This response is filed prior to the twomonth early response date. Applicants appreciate the thoughtful consideration given their undersigned representative by the Examiner in a telephone interview on 4 September 2003. The discussion centered on the relevance of the properties of the claimed compositions to their patentability and the specific phrase "which microemulsion has a turbidity less than 3 NTU" as a limitation to the claims. All the claims, claims 1-13, 15-17 and 49-50 were rejected and the rejection was made final. Careful consideration has been given to the grounds for rejection and to the discussion at the interview and the following discussion is believed responsive.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

#### **REMARKS**

As the application itself makes clear, the claimed compositions are effective pesticides. They are applied as clear aqueous formulations where the degree of clarity is actually quantitated as being <3 NTU. NTU are standard turbidity units as explained in the specification. When applied as a clear aqueous microemulsion, the invention compositions are able to protect agricultural crops, for example, from pest infestation.

## The Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Paragraph 2

All claims were rejected as assertedly unclear with regard to the phrase "clear aqueous microemulsion of a complex." Respectfully, applicants do not understand the rationale for asserted lack of clarity. The named ingredients (a)-(d) are associated intimately with each other so as to form what could be properly considered a "complex" – i.e., where the components are very closely associated although not covalently bound to each other. The complex is contained in a composition which, in total, is a clear aqueous microemulsion. It is aqueous because the continuous phase is water or a water solution such as a buffer, it is a "microemulsion" because the complex materials are not technically dissolved in the water, and it is clear because it has a turbidity of <3 NTU. If the phrase remains unclear after the present explanation, a telephone call to the undersigned is requested so that the basis for this rejection can further be elaborated.